

**JOURNAL OF THE FIRST-YEAR EXPERIENCE & STUDENTS IN TRANSITION
ARTICLE REVIEW FORM**

Manuscript Title: _____

Reviewer: _____ **Date Sent:** _____

Please return this Review form to: NRCJour@mailbox.sc.edu

*Jean Henscheid, Editor
Journal of The First-Year Experience and Students in Transition
University of South Carolina
1728 College Street
Columbia, SC 29208*

	Yes	No	Comments
Overall Evaluation			
Does the manuscript contain new findings or ideas?			
Are the findings or ideas important to the study of college student transitions?			
Does the article offer a compelling rationale for the research study?			
Is the material clearly written?			
Is a reference list included?			
Are all tables, graphs, etc. included?			
Is the manuscript theory and/or concept based?			
Does the manuscript offer recommendations for practice?			
General Organization			
Does the title accurately describe the paper?			
Does the abstract accurately summarize the paper?			
Are the purpose and scope clearly presented in the introduction?			
Is the body of the manuscript well-organized and substantive?			
Are the conclusions and implications properly discussed?			
Methodology Review			
Are the hypotheses or research questions clearly stated?			
Are the sample and sampling methods adequately described?			
Are the measures and treatment procedures clearly described?			

	Yes	No	Comments
Is the research design adequate to measure the study's hypotheses or research questions?			
Are appropriate statistical tests used, OR are the appropriate qualitative analyses used?			
Are the findings clearly presented?			
Is the discussion/recommendation section congruent with the results?			
Are results discussed in light of previous studies professional/literature?			
Do the research findings make a significant contribution?			
<i>Adherence to APA Writing Style</i>			
Is the abstract in APA style?			
Is the manuscript organized per APA style?			
Are in-text citations in APA style?			
Do all in-text and reference citations match?			
Is the manuscript written in non-sexist language?			

RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ **Accept**

_____ **Accept pending revisions (suggested revisions on separate page)**

_____ **Revise (see suggestions)**

_____ **Reject**

NARRATIVE EVALUATION

Please comment on the strengths and weaknesses of this draft of this manuscript. Specifically address any items rated “no.” Please make your comments for revision as specific as possible so to guide the authors’ work. I will synthesize the comments of all three reviewers, so offer the author(s) concise revision guidelines. Thank you.