

Poststratification When Population Counts Are Unavailable

Anja Zgodic

Jan M Eberth

Alexander C McLain
University of South Carolina

Background

- Small Area Estimation (SAE) produces estimates for small geographical areas, e.g. a county.
- Within each small area, data is sampled unequally and sociodemographic groups are represented unequally.
- Poststratification (PS) is used to adjust SAE estimates for unequal representation of sociodemographic groups.
- PS is often a weighted average of estimates of all sociodemographic groups.

Problem

- To compute the weighted average for PS, we need population counts for all sociodemographic groups. **These counts are not always available.**

Solution

- For strata of sociodemographic groups with missing counts, one can obtain small area prevalence by using simplifying assumptions and secondary data sources.
- Multiplying this prevalence with available sociodemographic group counts enables the computation of the weighted average of PS.

Dataset

- 2016 National Survey of Children's Health (NSCH)

For small area prevalence estimates, poststratification can be conducted despite of missing population counts.

With simplifying assumptions and secondary data sources, estimate the prevalence of missing sociodemographic group counts.

Update total population counts using prevalence estimates of missing counts.

Poststratification is then possible.



Take a picture for more information



Working Example

- We fit a SAE model for county-level rates of child overweight/obesity:

$$\text{logit}(p_{rgaek}) = \mathbf{X}_{rgae}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{rgae} + \mathbf{X}_k\boldsymbol{\beta}_k + b_k$$

b_k is a random intercept for each county k conditional on neighboring counties (intrinsic conditional autoregressive).

- This model provides estimates of overweight/obesity rates for each county k , for 256 strata of child-level variables: \hat{p}_{rgaek}

- 4 race/ethnicity groups, r
- 2 gender groups, g
- 8 age groups, a
- 4 parental education groups, e

- Census population counts are only available for 64 race/ethnicity, gender, and age strata N_{rgak} , but we need N_{rgaek} .

- Assuming child gender and age have no influence on parental education $P_k(e|rga) = P_k(e|r)$, we need the prevalence $P_k(e|r)$, to multiply it with N_{rgak} .

- $P_k(rgae) = P_k(e|r) \times P_k(rga)$, where $P_k(rga)$ is available in secondary data sources (Census Bureau for this example).

- $P_{S(k)}(e|r)$ is available from the NSCH.

- Assuming the ratio of state-to-county prevalence of being in a parental education group is the same for all race/ethnicity groups, we can get $P_k(e|r)$ by multiplying $Ratios_{S(k)} \times P_{S(k)}(e|r)$

- $Ratios_{S(k)}$ is available from the Census

- $P_k(rgae)$ is now estimated, $P_k(rgae) = P_k(e|r) \times P_k(rga)$.

- We get the last missing piece: $N_{rgaek} = P_k(rgae) \times N_{rgak}$

- We can finally conduct PS:

$$\hat{p}_k = \frac{\sum_r \sum_g \sum_a \sum_e \hat{p}_{rgaek} N_{rgaek}}{\sum_r \sum_g \sum_a \sum_e N_{rgaek}}$$

- We have an estimate of obesity/overweight for each county, \hat{p}_k . This estimate reflects the underlying distribution of the county population.