

Spring 2025 Pilot Arnold School course evaluation survey questions

- **Required questions.** Ten “core” questions (developed by the InDev Committee) will be utilized on every course evaluation.

For those questions not open ended, the following Likert Scale will be utilized for response options:

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree

1. The course materials were organized and easy to access for this course.
2. The instructional methods and materials were engaging.
3. The course learning activities increased my knowledge and skills in the subject matter (course learning outcomes).
4. The significance and relevance of the course topic(s) were made clear.
5. How many hours per week did you dedicate to this course, both inside and outside of class meetings?

Less than 3 hours 4-6 hours 7-10 hours 11-14 hours more than 14 hours

6. I rate this overall course as:

Unique Likert Scale: Inadequate Poor Fair Good Excellent

7. The instructor created a safe and inclusive learning environment for students.

8. The instructor was responsive to my questions and concerns.

9. I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:

Unique Likert Scale: Inadequate Poor Fair Good Excellent

10. What specific suggestions do you have to improve learning in this course? (Open text/ qualitative comment area)

- **Optional questions:** Instructors *may* select **up to two** questions from a list of 18 questions for each course evaluated.

The Likert scale responses will likely be “Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree”.

1. The instructor carefully explained difficult concepts, methods, and subject matter.
2. The instructor encouraged class participation.
3. The instructor's explanations were clear.
4. The instructor communicated information effectively.
5. The instructor gave adequate instructions concerning assignments.
6. The instructor's presentations and contributions in class engaged me.
7. The way the instructor conducted class kept me engaged.
8. The instructor was available to students either electronically or in person.
9. The instructor was prepared for each instructional activity.
10. The instructor seemed genuinely interested in teaching the course.
11. The instructor showed a thorough knowledge of the course material.
12. The instructor expressed interest in students' learning approaches (e.g. note-taking, study habits) throughout the course.
13. The instructor encouraged me to take an active role in my own learning.
14. The instructor made me feel free to ask questions, disagree, and express my ideas.
15. The course instructor encouraged respect for different opinions and experiences in the classroom.
16. The instructor fostered a classroom environment in which all students could feel free to participate fully.
17. The instructor provided opportunities for students to interact with one another.
18. The instructor provided opportunities for students to interact with one another on team, group, or collaborative assignments or projects.

- **Required for onlyhonors sections.** Only course evaluations for **undergraduate honors sections** will contain the following six questions.

For those questions not open ended, the following Likert Scale will be utilized for response options:

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree

1. I was satisfied with the Honors experience I received in the course.
2. This course was designed with Honors students in mind.
3. I would recommend this Honors course to other Honors students.
4. I would recommend this instructor to teach future Honors classes.
5. Compared to other Honors courses you have taken, how difficult was this Honors course?

Unique Likert Scale: Very difficult Difficult Neutral Easy Very easy

6. What specific suggestions do you have to improve the Honors experience in this course?

- **Additional questions specific to the Spring 2025 Arnold School course evaluations.**

For those questions not open ended, the following Likert Scale will be utilized for response options:

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree

1. The class size was conducive to my learning.
2. Please share any thoughts or details regarding the size of the class and your learning. (Open comment)
3. The instructor graded and returned my work in a timely manner.
4. The feedback on my assignments and exams was helpful for my learning.
5. The instructor met the class consistently and at the regularly scheduled times.
6. Please share anything you experienced that was inconsistent with policy and information presented in the course syllabus (for example, attendance policy, assignment deadlines, class meeting times, etc.)
7. Please expand upon your responses to any of the previous questions with additional information or examples about this course and/or instructor.
8. Having multiple course instructors added value to the course.

- **Additional questions specific to only Undergraduate Arnold School lab sections**

For those questions not open ended, the following Likert Scale will be utilized for response options:

Strongly Disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly Agree

1. The teaching assistant (TA) graded and returned your written work in a timely fashion.
2. The TA met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.
3. The TA presented course content consistent with the learning outcomes of the course.
4. The TA maintained an environment conducive to learning inside the class
5. The TA was responsive to questions or requests for help in class.
6. The TA was accessible (in person and/or electronically) outside of class.
7. In what respects could the TA of this course improve?
8. In what respects did the TA of this course excel?