



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SOLICITATION

TO: All Suppliers

FROM: Juaquana Brookins, Procurement Manager

SUBJECT: SOLICITATION NUMBER: USC-RFP-2927-JB

DESCRIPTION: **Data Extraction Software from IBM Content Manager and IBM OnDemand**

DATE: April 25, 2016

This Amendment No.1 modifies the Requests for Proposals only in the manner and to the extent as stated herein.

Supplier Questions and Answers

BIDDER SHALL ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF AMENDMENT NO. 1 IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW AND RETURN IT WITH THEIR BID RESPONSE. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY SUBJECT BID TO REJECTION.

Authorized Signature

Name of Offeror

Date

THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS WERE RECEIVED:

1. What do they want to do with the reports currently being held in CMOD once extracted? - As they are requiring this information to be extracted as .txt files we need to know what they will be doing as the format of these files may not be suitable without some transformation.

The reports in CMOD are line-oriented text files (EBCDIC). We require that the solution be able to extract and store line-oriented text files on the target platform (ASCII).

2. Why do these reports need to be in .txt format?

A different file format can be proposed for consideration, but the capability of ASCII text file output is required.

3. Is there formatting on these reports in CMOD - how do USC want this converted when extracting?

Other than spacing within and between lines of output, there is no special formatting in the CMOD reports.

4. How many application groups are there within the CMOD environment?

There are 17 application groups.

5. Within the extraction requirements, USC have listed a number of different ways to extract (by individual report type, select sub set, selection parameters) - which way of extraction is preferable? We can extract multiple different ways however each way could need bespoke configuration in order to extract the data - this can mean very different services time required to complete the project.

The requirement is that we be able to choose the subset of records to be extracted in any given run by providing flexible selection parameters.

6. Can USC explain what is meant by the flexible search and selection criteria feature? - Is this the ability to search for documents once extracted or before the extraction is complete?

The requirement is that we be able to choose the subset of records to be extracted in any given run by providing flexible selection parameters. This refers to the method of choosing which records to extract.

7. What will be the end repository where this data will be stored/where will this data be extracted to? Having circa 8.5 million reports on a single hard drive etc may cause issues. Do USC have a preference when it comes to the file plan/folder structure?

Extracted data will be stored in the file system of the target operating system until they are imported into another solution, which will vary depending on what the data is and what department it belongs to.

8. When extracting annotations from the CM repository - are USC aware that annotations will have to be "printed" onto the document, with a clean version also being extracted without the annotation? If the annotation is extracted in native format then this will be unreadable by systems other than content manager.

Yes.

9. We do not see the form titled “South Carolina Standard Amendment to End User License, Agreements for Commercial Off-The-Shelf Software - Single Agency (FEB 2015).” Could you please either show us where it is in the RFP or send us the referenced missing form?

See Attached, Attachment One

10. Insurance: One of the insurance requirements is called out as: “Coverage shall have limits no less than five million (\$5,000,000.00) dollars per occurrence and ten million (\$10,000,000.00) dollars aggregate.” Vendor does currently carry a \$5 Million E&O policy on a claims made basis, as well as a \$5Million Umbrella policy. May this be considered acceptable and a waiver given for the \$10M aggregate requirement? (Item (e) on page 28.

The five million (\$5,000,000) dollars E&O will meet the requirements.

11. The RFP indicates that you are running CMOD z/OS V7.1. Did USC leverage CMOD z/OS V2.1 and/or was any of the data migrated from CMOD z/OS V2.1. If yes, please describe the data/report type.

Yes, data was migrated from V2.1 to V7.1. All CMOD data, both migrated reports and reports originally created and stored with V7.1 are line-oriented text files representing printed output.

12. The RFP on page 16, bullet 2.B. asks that we “extract reports into TXT format”. Please let us know what data/report type is currently stored in CMOD (AFP, PDF, EBCDIC, ASCII, Image, etc).

The reports in CMOD are line-oriented text files (EBCDIC). We require that the solution be able to extract and store line-oriented text files on the target platform (ASCII).

13. Are there any CMOD sticky notes/annotations to be migrated?

No.

14. Could the POC be completed on the z/OS platform where the data currently resides vs. the windows platform?

No.