



U N I V E R S I T Y O F  
**SOUTH CAROLINA**

**AMENDMENT NO. 1**

**TO:** ALL VENDORS  
**FROM:** Lana Widener  
**SUBJECT:** USC-FPB-1913-LW  
Contractual Services to Provide Safety Shoes/Boots  
**DATE:** March 23, 2011

---

This Amendment No. 1 modifies the Invitation for Bid only in the manner and to the extent as stated herein.

**ITEM ONE: QUESTION FROM VENDOR A:**

Of the 12 shoes styles listed in Red Wing only 1 style can be sold for the maximum not to exceed price. I will be offering styles as close to these as possible, however some shoes with all the features they are requesting can not be bought at that price. In fact most of these shoes have wholesale prices above the maximum not to exceed price.

Are puncture resistant shoes a must?

Eight styles are listed as puncture resistant. This adds about \$20.00 to each shoe. We could provide puncture resistant insoles at about that cost. This would allow employees about 4 times selection because any shoe with other features would meet specifications.

If only Puncture resistant is allowed selection will be only 1 style within each category. This makes it virtually impossible to fit and satisfy all customers because everyones feet are different.

**ANSWER:**

No.

A puncture resistant insoles option as stated would be fine. USC Facilities has purchased very few puncture-resistant models in the past couple of years.

---

BIDDER SHALL ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF AMENDMENT NO. 1 IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW

AND RETURN IT **WITH THEIR BID RESPONSE**. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY SUBJECT BID TO REJECTION.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Firm

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date