

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SOLICITATION

TO:	ALL VENDORS					
FROM: Charl	es Johnson, Procurem	ent Manager				
SUBJECT:	SOLICITATION NUM FURNISH ALL LABO SOFTWARE SUPPO SERVICES	R, MATERIALS A	AND EQUIPMENT			
DATE: June 1	.5, 2010					
This Amendn herein.	nent <mark>No. 1</mark> modifies [:]	the Best Value	Bid only in the r	nanner and to	o the extent as s	stated
	L ACKNOWLEDGE REC					' AND
Authorized Signature		-	Name of Offe	ror		
Date		-				

THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS REGARDING THE BVB WERE RECEIVED FROM A VENDOR:

QUESTION 1: Do you all have preferred/approved vendors for your software purchases? If not, we have authorized BigFix partners who could respond to the RFP and I'll make sure they receive a copy.

ANSWER: No, we don't have preferred/approved vendors for our software purchases. With the solicitation, we are willing to consider proposals from either the manufacturer directly or any of its authorized partners. Therefore, we would encourage both the manufacturer and its authorized partners to respond to the solicitation with proposals so we have as much competition as possible.

QUESTION 2: Also, the server count seems high from previous conversations. I'd like to reflect a rate per server so if the number is quite a bit less you all can update your costs.

ANSWER: To the best of the University's knowledge, the server count in the solicitation is correct. The bidding Schedule in Section VIII Bidding Schedule / Price-Business Proposal of the solicitation is to be used by bidder to state its total bid cost. The Bidding Schedule is the only way for the University to be able to evaluate each bidder's Total Bid Cost. Bidders that fail to submit completed Bidding Schedules with their bid run the risk of having their bids deemed non responsive and removed from further consideration.