

FIRST-YEAR EXPERIENCE® AND STUDENTS IN TRANSITION UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

The Trusted Expert and Internationally Recognized Leader for all Postsecondary Student Transitions

Common Reading Programs as a High-Impact Practice: Going Beyond the Book

Jennifer R. Keup (@jrkeup)

National Resource Center for

The First-Year Experience and Students in Transition





Friday, March 27, 2015

Session Outline

- Background and purpose of common reading experiences
 - Definitions
 - Goals
 - Characteristics
- Empirical studies of common reading experiences
 - 2014 National Study of CRE Texts (pilot)
 - 2012-2013 National Survey of First-Year Seminars (qualitative and quantitative findings)
- Discussion





COMMON READING EXPERIENCES: AN INTRODUCTION



Common Reading Experience: What?

- Book chosen for all entering students (or a targeted group)
- Series of events to promote a common intellectual experience
- Faculty, staff & extended community participate
- Are academically oriented
- Promote reading, critical thinking, & discussion skills
- Focus on a theme generated from the selected work
- Used in 40% of orientation and first-year experience programs

High-Impact Educational Practices

First-Year Seminars and Experiences

Many schools now build into the curriculum first-year seminars to other programs that bring small groups of students together with faculty or staff on a regular basis. The highest-quality first-year experiences place a strong emphasis on critical inquiry, frequent writing, information literacy, collaborative learning, and other skills that develop students' intellectual and practical competencies. First-year seminars can also involve students with cutting-educations in scholarship and with faculty members' our research.

Common Intellectual Experiences

The older idea of a "core" curriculum has evolved into a variety of modern forms, such as a set of required common courses or a vertically organized general education program that includes advance integrative studies and/or required participation in a learning community (see below). These programs often combine broad themes—e.g., technology and society, global interdependence—with neigy of curricular and cocurricular options for students.

Learning Communities

The key goals for learning communities are to encourage integration of learning across courses and to involve students with "big questions" that matter beyond the classroom. Students take two or more linked courses as a group and work closely with one another and with their professors. Many learning communities explore a common topic and/or common readings through the lenses of different disciplines. Some deliberately link "liberal arts" and "professional courses"; others feature service learning.

Writing-Intensive Courses

These courses emphasize writing at all levels of instruction and across the curriculum, including final-year projects. Students are encouraged to produce and revise various forms of writing for different audiences in different disciplines. The effectiveness of this repeated practice "across the curriculum" has led to parallel efforts in such areas as quantitative reasoning, oral communication, information literacy, and, on some campuses, ethical inquiry.

Collaborative Assignments and Projects

Collaborative learning combines two key goals: learning to work and solve problems in the company of others, and sharpening one's own understanding by listening seriously to the insights of others, especially those with different backgrounds and life experiences. Approaches range from study groups within a course, to team-based assignments and writing, to cooperative projects and research.



Undergraduate Research

Many colleges and universities are now providing tresearch experiences for students in all disciplines. Undergraduate research, however, has been most prominently used in science disciplines. With strong support from the National Science Foundation and the research community, scientists are reshaping their courses to connect key concepts and questions with students' early and active involvement in systematic investigation and research. The goal is to involve students with actively contested questions, empirical observation, cutting-edge technologies, and the sense of excitement that comes from working to a nawer important questions.

Diversity/Global Learning

Many colleges and universities now emphasize courses and programs that help students explore cultures, life experiences, and worldviews different from their own. These studies—which may address U.S. diversity, world cultures, or both—often explore "difficult differences" such as racial, ethnic, and gender inequality, or continuing struggles around the globe for human rights, freedom, and power. Frequently, intercultural studies are augmented by experiential learning in the community and/or by study abroad.

Service Learning, Community-Based Learning

In these programs, field-based "experiential learning" with community partners is an instructional strategy—and often a required part of the course. The idea is to give students direct experience with issues they are studying in the curriculum and with ongoing efforts to analyze and solve problems in the community. A key element in these programs is the opportunity students have to both apply what they are learning in real-world settings and reflect in a classroom setting on their service experiences. These programs model the idea that giving something back to the community is an important college outcome, and that working with community partners is good preparation for citizenship, work, and life.

Internships

Internships are another increasingly common form of experiential learning. The idea is to provide students with direct experience in a work setting—usually related to their career interests—and to give them the benefit of supervision and coaching from professionals in the field. If the internship is taken for course credit, students complete a project or paper that is approved by a faculty member.

Capstone Courses and Projects

Whether they're called "senior capstones" or some other name, these culminating experiences require students nearing the end of their college years to create a project of some sort that integrates and applies what they've learned. The project might be a research paper, a performance, a portfolio of "best work," or an exhibit of artwork. Capstones are offered both in departmental programs and, increasingly, in general education as well.



Association of American Colleges and Universities



NATIONAL RESOURCE CENTER

FIRST-YEAR EXPERIENCE® AND STUDENTS IN TRANSITION
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

First-Year Seminars and Experiences

Many schools now build into the curriculum first-year seminars or other programs that bring small groups of students together with faculty or staff on a regular basis. The highest-quality first-year experiences place a strong emphasis on critical inquiry, frequent writing, information literacy, collaborative learning, and other skills that develop students' intellectual and practical competencies. First-year seminars can also involve students with cutting-edge questions in scholarship and with faculty members' own research.

Common Reading Experiences

Common Intellectual Experiences

The older idea of a "core" curriculum has evolved into a variety of modern forms, such as a set of required common courses or a vertically organized general education program that includes advanced integrative studies and/or required participation in a learning community (see below). These programs often combine broad themes—e.g., technology and society, global interdependence—with a variety of curricular and cocurricular options for students.





Association of American Colleges and Universities




Common Reading Experience: Why?



"A common reading may simulate, on a smaller scale, the advantages associated with a core curriculum by providing a "core" learning experience..." (Cuseo, FYE listserv 2004)

"Involving students in both in and out of class activities can impact cognitive development, including critical thinking." (*Terenzini, et al., 2006*)



Why NOT a Common Reading

In 2014, Purdue University abruptly cut CRE over winter break to save \$75,000



"Let me put it this way: no one produced any evidence it was having great success." "The common reading program is really being replaced by things that we think will be more valuable to incoming students." (Daniels, 2014)





COMMON READING EXPERIENCES: 2014 NATIONAL STUDY OF TEXTS



CRE Text Selection

- "The choice of a single book...can be a powerful signal to students (and to faculty members) about the college's educational priorities. In many cases, the book that is chosen is the only reading that all members of a class or a college have in common." (Thorn, Wood, Plum, & Carter, 2013)
- Exploratory questions:
 - What are the **general characteristics** of the texts selected for common reading programs at institutions across the country?
 - What do national data tell us about the **content** of the texts selected for common reading programs?



CRE Text Selection-Data Source

- Informed by NAS "Beach Books" series
- Institution is the unit of analysis
- 2014 book data provided by publishers
 - Title
 - Author
- Amazon
 - Publication year
 - List price
 - Format











HarperCollins







CRE Text Selection-Methodology

- Institutional information from IPEDS
 - Control
 - Type (2-year/4-year; special serving)
 - Size
 - Selectivity
 - Carnegie classification
- Source for Subject/Genre/Scope
 - Library of Congress
 - WorldCat
 - Alibris
 - Amazon








CRE – Institutional Sample

Institutional characteristic		% o f		
	National %	% of respondents to NSFYS (N=896)	respondents to NSFYS w/CRE (N=315)	% of CRE Sample (N=242)
Control				
Public	53.2	54.2	41.5	63.1
Private	46.8	45.8	58.5	36.9
Туре				
Two-year	36.7	26.7	9.6	8.3
Four-year	63.3	73.3	90.4	91.7
Number of first-year students				
Less than 500 students	62.8	29.8	43.3	21.9
501-1,000 students	17.5	22.8	24.7	15.7
1,001-2,000 students	11.9	19.4	15.4	26.0
2,001-4,000 students	5.5	16.1	11.9	21.1
More than 4,001 students	2.4	12.0	4.8	15.3




CRE – Analysis and Coding

- Characteristics of the book
 - Price point (MSRP)
 - Format
 - Release date
 - Page count
- Characteristics of content
 - Genre
 - Scope
 - Subject





CRE – Coding Example



- Published in 2007
- 425 pages in paperback
- \$16.95
- Nonfiction-Memoir/Biography/ Autobiography
- Set in the United States
- Subjects
 - 1. Politics/Government
 - 2. Race/Race Relations/Ethnic Studies
 - 3. Women/Gender




CRE - Trends

- Price point is generally consistent
 - Range: \$12.95 \$29.99
 - Mean: \$16.31
- 95% of selections were in paperback format
 - Hard back selections were not yet available in paperback
- Selections focused on newer releases
 - Only 23 prior to 2000
 - Majority (55%) published 2010-2013






CRE- Page Count




CRE – Text Genre


- Nonfiction (163)
 - General (98)
 - Memoir/Biography/Autobiography (47)
 - Essays (18)
- Fiction (79)
 - General (62)
 - Graphic Novel (9)
 - Short Stories (7)
- Poetry (1)













a long way gone

CRE – Scope

- United States (136)
- Foreign-set in one country outside the U.S. (37)
- International-setting is between more than one country (40)
- <u>Global</u>-inclusive or relevant to numerous countries (4)
- Not Indicated (25)





CRE - Subject


- Cooking/Food
- 2. Crime & Punishment
- 3. Economics/Poverty
- Education/Children/ Youth
- 5. History
- 6. Immigration/Refugee
- Medicine/Health
- 8. Politics/Government
- 9. Psychology/Self-Help
- 10. Queer/LGBT

- Race/Race
 Relations/Ethnic Studies
- 12. Religion
- 13. Science/Environmentalism/Technology
- 14. Society/Sociology
- 15. War
- 16. Women/Gender


Over 60 had 2 subjects & 11 had 3 subjects



CRE – Most Common Subjects



CRE – Less Common Subjects





Discussion

"Perhaps the most comprehensive critiques of these programs have come from the National Association of Scholars (NAS), which...released reports castigating universities for choosing books that the association sees as **too liberal,...too easy, too recent, too similar to one another, and too far from the classics**." (*Inside Higher Ed, January, 2011*)

- How do these data & analyses hold up to past criticisms?
- What do the characteristics of the book & content suggest about the national trends re: common reading programs?
- What conclusions can we draw from the frequency of genre, scope, & subject categories suggest about the goals & outcomes of common reading programs nationally?

Next Steps

- Broaden institutional sample
- New variables (film/documentary)
- Analyze data by institutional characteristics
- Replicate study to identify trends
- Incorporate student learning outcomes to identify impact of CRE
 - General impact
 - Impact by characteristics of book and content





COMMON READING EXPERIENCES: 2012-2013 NATIONAL SURVEY OF FIRST-YEAR SEMINARS




NSFYS 2012-13 Methodology

- 3,753 institutions were invited to participate
 - 4 waves: CAO, CEO, CSAO, 2009 NSFYS participants
 - Administered from Nov. 2012-Jan. 2013
- 896 campuses responded (23.9% response rate)
- 804 (89.7% of sample) indicated that they had one or more FYS



NSFYS 2012-13: Common Reading Experiences

- "Which of the following highimpact educational practices are connected to the first-year seminar with the highest enrollment of students?"
 - "Common reading experience: Firstyear reading experience or summer reading program"





CRE in FYS: Institutional Characteristics

- Much more common at four-year institutions
 - Present in nearly half (45.8%) of FYS at fouryear campuses
 - 31.3% more than two-year colleges ***
- Much more common at private institutions
 - Present in nearly half (48.0%) of private FYS
 - 18.3% more than publics ***



CRE in FYS: Primary Question

"How are Common Reading Experiences intentionally connected to First-Year Seminars?"





"In what ways are institutions with CREs connected to FYS different from those without?"



How We Will Answer Those Questions

Qualitative analysis of open-ended responses to: "Please describe how sections of the first-year seminar incorporate the common reading experience" (Primary Question)

 Quantitative analysis will follow themes as framework to illuminate findings (Secondary Question)



CRE in FYS

Goals and Purposes



CRE in FYS: Goals and Purposes

- Community Building
- Inter-Departmental Connection
- Communicating Expectations
- Shared Intellectual Experience
- Learning Objectives
 - Critical Thinking
 - Self-Awareness
 - Diversity and Global Issues






CRE in FYS: Objectives

FYS with CRE were *more often* about:

- Making Connections and Building Community
 - Create common first-year experience ***
 - Develop support networks or friendships *
- Communicating Expectations and Learning
 - Introduce the liberal arts ***
 - Develop writing skills *
 - Develop intercultural competence *







CRE in FYS: Objectives

- Goals for FYS with CREs were less often focused on
 - Developing study skills ***
 - Campus resources and services ***





Goals and Purposes

Structural



CRE in FYS: Structural

- Events
 - Essay contests
 - Discussions
 - Lectures
- Text
 - Type
 - Number
- Reach







CRE in FYS: Reach

More students participate in FYS at institutions with CRE:

- Nearly half of institutions with CRE in FYS report that all firstyear students participate in seminar
- 21.3% more than FYS with no CRE ***









Goals and Purposes

Structural

Curricular



CRE in FYS: Curricular

Curriculum of FYS

- Text Required or supplemental in FYS
- Themes

Connection Across Curriculum

- Learning Communities
- Connecting intellectual activity for first year

"[Students] read about it in the reading class, write about it in the writing class, present on it in the first-year seminar."

CRE in FYS: Topics

First-year seminars connected to common reading programs reported the following course topics:

More Frequently

- Critical thinking ***
- Writing skills ***
- Global learning ***
- Diversity issues *

Less Frequently

- Study skills ***
- Campus resources **
- Time management **
- College policies and procedures ***
- Financial literacy *



Goals and Purposes

Structural

Pedagogical

Curricular



CRE in FYS: Pedagogical Approaches

- Assignments
- Reflection
- Assessment of Learning





- Connection with other HIPs
 - Service-learning
 - Diversity
 - Collaborative assignments




HIP to have a CRE in your FYS

- Connected to FYS at more institutions than any of the other "programmatic" HIPs
 - Common reading experience 38.1%
 - Learning Communities 36.8%
 - Service-Learning 31.8%
 - Undergraduate Research 12.8%
- CRE had a strong presence even with institutions reporting they didn't have an FYS (32.2%)



HIP to have a CRE in your FYS

HIPs in FYS by Connection of CRE




* p < .05, *** p < .001




www.sc.edu/fye

HIP to have a CRE in your FYS



(p < .001)








CRE in FYS: Outcomes

- FYS connected to CRE were more likely to assess the following outcomes:
 - Satisfaction with faculty ***
 - Writing ability ***
 - Participation in campus activities **
 - Student self-reports of course impact *
 - Student self-reports of improvement **
 - Involvement in service **
 - Understanding of institutional identity and culture **
 - Satisfaction with the seminar *
 - Information literacy *
 - Connections with peers *
 - Satisfaction with institution *





CRE in FYS: Procedural



Administration





Faculty



CRE in FYS: Instructor

- Campuses that connect CRE to FYS report :
 - Far more tenure-track faculty ***
 - Slightly more <u>full-time non-tenure-track faculty</u> and <u>other campus professionals</u> *
 - Slightly more graduate students **
- Overall report a greater variety of types of instructors compared to campuses where CRE is not connected to FYS




CRE in FYS: Administration

 FYS <u>with</u> CRE are more often administered by a first-year program office or the academic affairs central office

 FYS <u>without</u> CRE are more often administered by an academic department

(p > .01)





COMMON READING EXPERIENCES: CLOSING DISCUSSION



Why Common Reading?

- Can be a campus win for everyone but
 - Must tie to shared goals
 - Must be assessed
 - Must justify ROI
 - Must involve key stakeholders
 - Must share results
 - Must be willing to change




How do we go beyond the book to create an ongoing and engaging academic initiative for students?



"By intentionally creating this relationship between the curricular and co-curricular components of the common reading program, the gap between students' in- and out-of-classroom learning is narrowed and learning is deepened."

(Laufgraben, 2006, p. 73)







More Information Available



2012-2013 National Survey of First-Year Seminars: Exploring High-Impact Practices in the First College Year by Dallin George Young and Jessica M. Hopp

Common Reading Programs: Going Beyond the Book edited by Jodi Levine Laufgraben

Available now www.nrcpubs.com



