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Session Outline

• Background and purpose of common reading experiences
  – Definitions
  – Goals
  – Characteristics

• Empirical studies of common reading experiences
  – 2014 National Study of CRE Texts (pilot)
  – 2012-2013 National Survey of First-Year Seminars (qualitative and quantitative findings)

• Discussion
COMMON READING EXPERIENCES:
AN INTRODUCTION

Sara J. Frakes
Common Reading Experience: What?

• Book chosen for all entering students (or a targeted group)
• Series of events to promote a common intellectual experience
• Faculty, staff & extended community participate
• Promote reading, critical thinking, & discussion skills
• Focus on a theme generated from the selected work
High-Impact Educational Practices

First-Year Seminars and Experiences
Many schools now build into the curriculum first-year seminars or other programs that bring small groups of students together with faculty or staff on a regular basis. The highest-quality first-year experiences place a strong emphasis on critical inquiry, frequent writing, information literacy, collaborative learning, and other skills that develop students’ intellectual and practical competencies. First-year seminars can also involve students with cutting-edge topics in scholarship and work by faculty and research.

Common Intellectual Experiences
The old idea of a “core” curriculum has evolved into a variety of modern forms, such as a set of required common courses or a vertically organized general education program that includes advanced integrative studies and/or required participation in a learning community (see below). These programs often combine broad themes—e.g., technology and society, global interdependence—with a variety of curricular and cocurricular options for students.

Learning Communities
The key goals for learning communities are to encourage integration of learning across courses and to involve students with “big questions” that matter beyond the classroom. Students take two or more linked courses as a group and work closely with one another and with their professors. Many learning communities explore a common topic and/or common readings through the lenses of different disciplines. Some deliberately link “liberal arts” and “professional courses”; others feature service learning.

Writing-Intensive Courses
These courses emphasize writing at all levels of instruction and across the curriculum, including final-year projects. Students are encouraged to produce and revise various forms of writing for different audiences in different disciplines. The effectiveness of this repeated practice “across the curriculum” has led to parallel efforts in such areas as quantitative reasoning, oral communication, information literacy, and, on some campuses, ethical inquiry.

Collaborative Assignments and Projects
Collaborative learning combines two key goals: learning to work and solve problems in the company of others, and sharpening one’s own understanding by listening seriously to the insights of others, especially those with different backgrounds and life experiences. Collaborative learning is a core component of the LEAP model. It involves working with peers to apply ideas and concepts in new and creative ways, to analyze and solve problems, and to develop effective communication skills. Collaborative learning can take many forms, such as team-based assignments, group projects, and service learning.

Undergraduate Research
Many colleges and universities are now providing research experiences for students in all disciplines. Undergraduate research, however, has been most prominently used in science disciplines. With strong support from the National Science Foundation and the research community, scientists are repurposing their courses to connect key concepts and questions with students’ early and active involvement in systematic investigation and research. The goal is to involve students with actively contested questions, empirical observation, cutting-edge technologies, and the sense of excitement that comes from working to answer important questions.

Diversity/Global Learning
Many colleges and universities now emphasize courses and programs that help students explore cultures, life experiences, and worldviews different from their own. These studies—which may address U.S. diversity, world cultures, or both—often explore “difficult differences” such as racial, ethnic, and gender inequalities, or examining struggles around the globe for human rights, freedom, and power. Frequently, intercultural studies are augmented by experiential learning in the community and/or by study abroad.

Service Learning, Community-Based Learning
In these programs, field-based “experiential learning” with community partners is an instructional strategy—and often a required part of the course. The idea is to give students direct experience with issues they are studying in the classroom and with ongoing efforts to analyze and solve problems in the community. A key element in these programs is the opportunity students have to both apply what they are learning in real-world settings and reflect on their service experiences. These programs model the idea that giving something back to the community is an important college outcome, and that working with community partners is good preparation for citizenship, work, and life.

Internships
Internships are another increasingly common form of experiential learning. The idea is to provide students with direct experience in a work setting—usually related to their career interests—and to give them the benefit of supervision and coaching from professionals in the field. If an internship is taken for course credit, students complete a project or paper that is approved by a faculty member.

Capstone Courses and Projects
Whether they’re called “senior capstones” or some other name, these culminating experiences require students nearing the end of their college years to create a project of some sort that integrates and applies what they’ve learned. The project might be a research paper, a performance, a portfolio of “best work,” or an exhibit of artwork. Capstone projects are offered both in departmental programs and, increasingly, in general education as well.
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First-Year Seminars and Experiences
Many schools now build into the curriculum first-year seminars or other programs that bring small groups of students together with faculty or staff on a regular basis. The highest-quality first-year experiences place a strong emphasis on critical inquiry, frequent writing, information literacy, collaborative learning, and other skills that develop students’ intellectual and practical competencies. First-year seminars can also involve students with cutting-edge questions in scholarship and with faculty members’ own research.

Common Reading Experiences

Common Intellectual Experiences
The older idea of a “core” curriculum has evolved into a variety of modern forms, such as a set of required common courses or a vertically organized general education program that includes advanced integrative studies and/or required participation in a learning community (see below). These programs often combine broad themes—e.g., technology and society, global interdependence—with a variety of curricular and cocurricular options for students.
Common Reading Experience: Why?

“A common reading may simulate, on a smaller scale, the advantages associated with a core curriculum by providing a “core” learning experience…” (Cuseo, FYE listserv 2004)

“Involving students in both in and out of class activities can impact cognitive development, including critical thinking.” (Terenzini, et al., 2006)
Why NOT a Common Reading

In 2014, Purdue University abruptly cut CRE over winter break to save $75,000

"Let me put it this way: no one produced any evidence it was having great success.” "The common reading program is really being replaced by things that we think will be more valuable to incoming students.”

(Daniels, 2014)
COMMON READING EXPERIENCES:
2012-2013 NATIONAL SURVEY OF FIRST-YEAR SEMINARS
NSFYS 2012-13 Methodology

- 3,753 institutions were invited to participate
  - 4 waves: CAO, CEO, CSAO, 2009 NSFYS participants
    - Administered from Nov. 2012-Jan. 2013
- 896 campuses responded (23.9% response rate)
- 804 (89.7% of sample) indicated that they had one or more FYS
NSFYS 2012-13: Common Reading Experiences

• Which of the following high-impact educational practices are connected to the first-year seminar with the highest enrollment of students?
  – Common Reading Experience
  – First-year reading experience or summer reading program
CRE in FYS: Institutional Characteristics

• Much more common at four-year institutions
  – Present in nearly half (45.8%) of FYS at four-year campuses
  – 31.3% more than two-year colleges ***

• Much more common at private institutions
  – Present in nearly half (48.0%) of private FYS
  – 18.3% more than publics ***
CRE in FYS: Big Question

“How are Common Reading Experiences intentionally connected to First-Year Seminars?”
CRE in FYS: Small Question

“In what ways are institutions with CREs connected to FYS different from those without?”
How We Will Answer Those Questions

- **Qualitative** analysis of open-ended responses to:
  “Please describe how sections of the first-year seminar incorporate the common reading experience”
  (Big Question)

- **Quantitative** analysis will follow themes as framework to illuminate findings
  (Small Question)

www.sc.edu/fye
CRE in FYS

Goals and Purposes
CRE in FYS: Goals and Purposes

- Community Building
- Inter-Departmental Connection
- Communicating Expectations
- Shared Intellectual Experience
- Learning Objectives
  - Critical Thinking
  - Self-Awareness
  - Diversity and Global Issues
CRE in FYS: Objectives

Goals for FYS with CRE were *more often* about:

- **Making Connections and Building Community**
  - Create common first-year experience ***
  - Develop support networks or friendships *

- **Communicating Expectations and Learning**
  - Introduce the liberal arts ***
  - Develop writing skills *
  - Develop intercultural competence *

* p < .05, *** p < .001
CRE in FYS: Objectives

• Goals for FYS with CREs were less often focused on
  – Developing study skills ***
  – Campus resources and services ***

*** p < .001
CRE in FYS

Goals and Purposes

Structural
CRE in FYS: Structural

- Events
  - Essay contests
  - Discussions
  - Lectures
- Text
  - Type
  - Number
- Reach
CRE in FYS: Reach

More students participate in FYS at institutions with CRE

- Nearly half of institutions with CRE in FYS report that all first-year students participate in seminar
- 21.3% more than FYS with no CRE

*** p < .001
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Purposes</th>
<th>Structural</th>
<th>Curricular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

CRE in FYS
CRE in FYS: Curricular

- Curriculum of FYS
  - Text – Required or supplemental in FYS
  - Themes

- Connection Across Curriculum
  - Learning Communities
  - Connecting intellectual activity for first year

“[Students] read about it in the reading class, write about it in the writing class, present on it in the first-year seminar.”
CRE in FYS: Topics

First-year seminars connected to common reading programs reported the following course topics:

**More Frequently**
- Critical thinking ***
- Writing skills ***
- Global learning ***
- Diversity issues *

**Less Frequently**
- Study skills ***
- Campus resources **
- Time management **
- College policies and procedures ***
- Financial literacy *

*p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001*
CRE in FYS

Goals and Purposes

Structural

Pedagogical

Curricular
CRE in FYS: Pedagogical Approaches

- Assignments
- Reflection
- Assessment of Learning

- Connection with other HIPs
  - Service-learning
  - Diversity
  - Collaborative assignments
HIP to have a CRE in your FYS

• Connected to FYS at more institutions than any of the other “programmatic” HIPs
  – Common reading experience – 38.1%
  – Learning Communities – 36.8%
  – Service-Learning – 31.8%
  – Undergraduate Research – 12.8%

• CRE had a strong presence even with institutions reporting they didn’t have an FYS (32.2%)
HIP to have a CRE in your FYS

HIPs in FYS by Connection of CRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection of CRE</th>
<th>Percentage of Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diversity/Global</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing intensive</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative assignments</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-learning</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning community</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate research</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < .05, *** p < .001

CRE  No CRE

* p < .05, *** p < .001

www.sc.edu/fye
HIP to have a CRE in your FYS

Average number of HIPs in FYS

- FYS w/CRE: 4.11
- FYS w/no CRE: 2.12

(p < .001)
CRE in FYS: Outcomes

• FYS connected to CRE were more likely to assess the following outcomes:
  – Satisfaction with faculty ***
  – Writing ability ***
  – Participation in campus activities **
  – Student self-reports of course impact *
  – Student self-reports of improvement **
  – Involvement in service **
  – Understanding of institutional identity and culture **
  – Satisfaction with the seminar *
  – Information literacy *
  – Connections with peers *
  – Satisfaction with institution *
CRE in FYS

Goals and Purposes

Structural

Procedural
(Administration and Faculty)

Pedagogical

Curricular
CRE in FYS: Procedural

- Administration
- Faculty
CRE in FYS: Instructor

• Campuses that connect CRE to FYS report:
  – Greatly more tenure-track faculty ***
  – Slightly more full-time non-tenure-track faculty and other campus professionals *
  – Slightly more graduate students **

• Overall report a greater variety of types of instructors compared to campuses where CRE is not connected to FYS

* p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001
CRE in FYS: Administration

- FYS with CRE are more often administered by a *first-year program office* or the academic affairs central office

- FYS without CRE are more often administered by *an academic department* 

\[ p > 0.01 \]
Dallin George Young

COMMON READING EXPERIENCES:
CLOSING DISCUSSION
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Why Common Reading?

- Can be a campus win for everyone but
  - Must tie to *shared* goals
  - Must be assessed
  - Must justify ROI
  - Must involve key stakeholders
  - Must share results
  - Must be willing to change
How do we go beyond the book to create an ongoing and engaging academic initiative for students?
“By intentionally creating this relationship between the curricular and co-curricular components of the common reading program, the gap between students’ in- and out-of-classroom learning is narrowed and learning is deepened.”

(Laufgraben, 2006, p. 73)
More Information Available

2012-2013 National Survey of First-Year Seminars: Exploring High-Impact Practices in the First College Year by Dallin George Young and Jessica M. Hopp

Common Reading Programs: Going Beyond the Book edited by Jodi Levine Laufgraben

Available now www.nrcpubs.com