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# THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA FACULTY SENATE 

Wednesday, November 2, 2022
This session was held in person at the UofSC Law School Building
PRESIDING Chair Audrey Korsgaard
CHAIR AUDREY KORSGAARD called the meeting to order at 3:00pm EST.
Called Meeting of the Faculty Senate
CHAIR KORSGAARD welcomed members to the Faculty Senate.
Approval of the minutes: The minutes were approved as submitted.

PARLIAMENTARIAN BILL SUDDUTH clarified the method for speaking before Faculty Senate. During the Wednesday October $5^{\text {th }}$ meeting of the Faculty Senate, the Parliamentarian erroneously recommended a procedure to the Chair of the Faculty Senate for the recognition for speakers who are not elected Senators. This ruling was based on the Standing Rules of the Faculty Senate Rule 9 visitors of Section 1 page 82 of the Faculty Manual. This states that by majority vote any person may attend and address the Senate during part or all the meeting. Rule 9 Section 1 pertains only to members who are not members of the university faculty. However, per article 2 section 4, page 73 of the bylaws of the Faculty Senate of the University of South Carolina Columbia "any member of the University of South Carolina faculty may attend Faculty Senate meetings and speak on matters before the Senate." However, when the Chair can recognize faculty non-Senators and visitors during the meeting is not made clear in the bylaws or the standing rules of the Senate. When there is a question before the Senate the Chair should follow the following guidelines for preference in recognition of speakers:

- The Chair holds the power to recognize who will speak,
- Individuals recognized should introduce themselves clearly and include name, department representing, and whether they are speaking for or against the topic under discussion. The Chair will then grant permission to speak.
- The Senator introducing the question or motion has first access to the floor. After which, debate should proceed alternating between elected senators advocating against or for the question or motion. Individuals that have already spoken once on the question or motion must yield to a senator that has not yet spoken. Members are reminded that they are able
to only speak twice during a debate of a question or motion and are limited to 10 minutes each time. After all elected senators have had an opportunity to speak to a question or a motion the Chair may recognize members of the University faculty that may want to speak to the motion or question. Once all members of the University faculty have had the opportunity to speak, any visitor may be given permission to address the Senate following Rule 9 Section 1 of the Standing Rule of the Faculty Senate of the University of South Carolina, page 82.

SENATOR MARCO VALTORTA thanked the Parliamentarian for the clarification. He asked for clarification regarding whether the Bylaws take preference over the Standing Rules. PARLIAMENTARIAN SUDDUTH clarified that according to point 1 , it is the Chair's responsibility who may speak. If the debate is complicated, order should be maintained, and preference should be given to elected Senators. The Chair, however, makes this decision. The manual sets up the three-tiered preference as to who can speak.

SENATOR TAVAKOLI (nursing) asked for clarification on the 10 minutes time limit. PARLIAMENTARIAN SUDDUTH stated that everyone has the right to speak twice one a motion or question. Each time, the limit is 10 minutes. There is not time limit for a debate. If there is a full agenda, members may consider changing the limit. If a limit is changed, it is recommended to accomplish this prior to the meeting begins. This has not been a problem to date.

## REPORT OF THE OFFICERS

## President's Report

PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS gave an update on the Senate Executive Committee. Members of this committee were constituted a few weeks ago. This is an experiment trying to strengthen the governance component at the university. It gives the President and the Senate an opportunity to have discussions of critical issues on a regular basis and in more depth. President Amiridis thanked the members of this committee for stepping forward and being on this committee. There is one member from each College. This membership (one from each college) was purposeful so every college is represented. President Amiridis encouraged the Chair of Faculty Senate to provide reports of this committee to the entire Faculty Senate body.

In the last month, the university had several very visual and celebratory occasions. PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS and the BOARD OF TRUSTEES (BOT) revealed the design of a monument of the three courageous students that almost 60 years ago (Anderson, Treadwell, and Solomon) desegregated the university. The unique design, a sculpture, is in essence a synthesis of sculptures developed by Basil Watson. History will always remember these three students. This monument will ensure that current and future students also know about Anderson, Treadwell, and Solomon.

Boeing funded the Clyburn Chair; a position connected to the Center for Civil Rights History and Research. This strengthens USC's efforts to be one of the strongest universities in civil rights area.

A message was sent to the new dean of libraries letting him know that USC is moving forward in hiring a public historian. This was one of the key recommendations of the History Commission. This hiring is very important and will be very welcoming by faculty and students.

Homecoming weekend was very busy. It was amazing having thousands of people coming back to Columbia, the strongest advocates that we have coming to the campus; our own graduates affirming the support of the university. On Friday alone, PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS had seven different engagements in which he made remarks to very different groups. It was a great pleasure to advertise the success of the students. This is what the audience wants to hear; they care to know how students and faculty are doing; what is happening at the university.

PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS stated that he is humbled by the stories of how students and alumni lives have been changed based on their experiences at USC. The class of 1972 was back on campus, as 50-year anniversary of their graduation. Other students who just recently graduated were back because they don't want to forget their time on campus.

There are two graduates from CIC, one from the 1980s and the other from 2012; they represent alumni from approximately 27 years difference in graduation dates from the University of South Carolina. They the same passion for the profession, the same type of love for the university, and the same type of excellence. Both were recognized with the Pulitzer Prize.

The 50-year anniversary of UNIV 101 was celebrated. UNIV 101 has changed the national approach to how we treat freshman. When a stakeholder asked, "what is the first-year experience?", PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS stated that 50 years ago, a university president would tell freshman "Look to the right, look to the left, one of the three of you will actually graduate." UNIV 101 says "look to the right, look to the left, all three of you will be able to graduate." The idea was to create a cohort experience for freshman and assist in graduation. After living outside of South Carolina for seven years, it is amazing to hear what student affairs professionals are saying about the USC first-year experience. It is nationally recognized; 75\% of all universities in the U.S. are using what is known outside of South Carolina as the South Carolina model (i.e., UNIV 101). It is wonderful but it is also a challenge to the Faculty Senate to identify what will be remembered 50 years from now. President Amiridis asked Faculty Senate the following questions:

- What have we done collectively for the students?
- How can we (i.e., administration and faculty) impact today's education in a similar manner that UNIV 101 has done for freshmen? This impact includes the expectations we have for our students and the offerings of the institution for the student population.

A few days prior to homecoming, the university changed the name from UofSC to USC. This is not the most important task to complete. It is not academically important. But getting rid of the "of" was important when getting ready for a capital campaign, particularly when $85 \%$ of the alumni do not like how we represent ourselves. This was an easy decision.

The university is creating a small office of organizational excellence. STACY BRADLEY was in student affairs for several years. Ms. Bradley will lead this group of 4-5 people. The idea is to look at how we operate our services, what we do centrally, services provided (e.g., HR, recruitment). The group will look at how the university can improve the effectiveness of services
provided. This is an attempt to create a more user-friendly university. The attitude is not to "police" units but "how can this group help" units.

A mental health summit was held with student governance. Mental health is a national crisis that has been exaggerated by the isolation of the pandemic. The university is trying to increase resources to help students. It is extremely difficult to find and hire qualified professionals. Administration is working together with student groups to make sure available resources are communicated, including a recent agreement for $24 / 7$ response.

The university wants to be voter friendly. USC wants to ensure that we have significant voter turnout. This year, the initiative is a bit late. The goal is to have an early voting station on campus. Other campuses in other states have demonstrated significant early voting on campus.

SENATOR TAVAKOKI (Nursing) inquired about the timeframe for changing the branding (e.g., signs) from UofSC to USC. PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS stated that all digital signage is changed. Road signs will take time.

SENATOR HARRIS (History) inquired about indoor air quality improvement. A survey that was conducted, and an article published in the Post \& Currier indicated that mold exists in some university buildings. PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS stated that the Post \& Currier reported higher cases of mold that are in buildings. Facilities has conducted a survey regarding this issue and the results will be shared. President Amiridis stated that the information from the Post \& Currier is concerning. It is important that we operate from the same facts. The actual identified cases were less than what the newspaper reported. The numbers were approximately 400 , not 800 as reported. If you normalize these numbers versus the number of beds each university has in the state, USC is not the "poster child of problems". USC has the same rate of problems as other institutions. This is particularly true in the case of an old campus. The problem, in essence is not how we react to the requests that we get. All requests were addressed and addressed quickly. The fact is that we cannot be proactive. The issue of black mold has been brought up. There hasn't been a single case in the last 10 years. The last case was in 2012-2013. That doesn't mean that all is good.

What needs to be conducted is as follows:

- Education needs to take place. The original mold is humidity in the (dorm) room. Towels that are left out. Food that is left out. Another problem is the AC system. For example, the dormitory rooms have AC, but the corridors do not have AC. This results in a differential in terms of temperature and humidity which results in mold. Water leaks are also a problem. Small water leaks occur because of the age of a building.
- Another area to be conducted is renovation. The university has some old buildings (e.g., Capstone, South Tower). Renovation takes time. The university is considering taking some of the older dormitories offline. Conditions in the academic buildings (for mold) is much lower. This is particularly due to humidity. There are approximately 3000 HVAC systems throughout 125 buildings across campus. These systems are regularly reviewed. If (or when) mold is identified in any of the academic buildings, environmental health comes into the building to assess the situation.


## Provost's Report

PROVOST ARNETT thanked the Faculty Senate for the invitation. Two months ago, the Provost's retreat was held. Through the VPR's Office, the Provost's Office, and the President's Office, the Research Funding Program has been launched. The RFP is out. Ten will be selected to move forward to a second phase. Excel grants are posted. The Bridge to Faculty Program is taking shape. A Stand-Up Call was held this past Monday. The purpose of the Stand-Up Call is to cascade communication from the Provost's Office down through the departments. If faculty are not hearing information from Department Chairs, please ask for the information. On December $11^{\text {th }}$, the University is having the state legislators lobbyists speak at the Provost StandUp Call to talk about legislative priorities for the spring semester.

During the Stand-Up Calls, PROVOST ARNETT highlights academic excellence of a unit. These are areas of excellence that she learns about through her listening tours. Two meeting ago, the First Grant was highlighted. This highly competitive grant was obtained by the joint efforts between the College of Nursing and College of Public Health. Through this grant, 10 underrepresented minority faculty will be hired over five years.

Two weeks ago, PROVOST ARNETT spent eight hours at the Darla Moore School of Business. One outcome of the meeting was the realization of the significant amount and impact of the faculty research.

Two Pulitzer Prize winning alumni were on campus. A world-renowned cellist (ZUILL BAILEY) played at the Koger Center. The College of Engineering \& Computing hosted the President of the American Nuclear Society for a lecture on nuclear science and technology.

BOEING and CONGRESSMAN JIM CLYBURN provided USC with a $\$ 1.5$ million gift to establish a James E. and Emily E. Clyburn endowed Chair of Public Service in Engagement Fund. The Chair was awarded to ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR BOBBY DONALDSON. This award will allow the Center for Civil Rights History and Research to further its programming and outreach initiatives within the university community and across the state of South Carolina.

Two different leadership programs are offered through the Provost's Office.

- The first program is SEC-wide. This program is called the Academic Leadership Program. This program has four USC faculty members who participate along with other ADL members from across the SEC. The members recently attended a program at the University of Georgia.
- The second program is the Academic Administrators Program. Two sessions were held this month. Two workshops are expected to be held this semester.

Regarding the listening tours, PROVOST ARNETT has met with faculty at nine colleges/schools. Each college is different, and the needs are different. For example, the College of Education does not have hot water. When the building was remodeled in 1970, a decision was made to not put hot water in the building. This decision was facility and cost related. The lack of hot water is now being fixed. Positive information is being revealed from the listening tours;
there is also some hard conversations during the listening tours. Hard conversations are good to have; it is important to understand the pressure points. For faculty, it is about a) learning where we need to build resilience and b) where we need to build well-being. Five colleges have yet to meet with Provost Arnett.

PROVOST ARNETT would like to work with the Faculty Senate committee on Faculty Welfare. There is a scheduling issue. That is why she has not yet met with the committee.

PROVOST ARNETT provided an update on the dean searches.

- The new dean of Libraries started this Monday, DR. DAVE BANUSH.
- DR. TOMMY HODGES started as dean of the College of Education in mid-October.
- Darla Moore School of Business dean search is active. Semi-finalists are being interviewed. Finalists will be selected by next week. It is anticipated this search will be wrapped up this semester.
- HRSM dean search began in September. The search is being chaired by DEAN JOEL SAMUELS and KAY THOMAS.
- The College of Social Work will have an internal search. This is a result of the listening tour. DEAN JEANNETTE ANDREWS and DEBORAH HAZZARD will chair the search.
- PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS and PROVOST ARNETT are launching a new search for Vice Provost and Associate Vice President of Global Carolina. Currently only two Vice Provosts manage everything under the Provost's Office. For a university this size, we should have probably 5-6 persons. VICE PROVOST SANDRA KELLY has been managing this (Global Carolina) as well as 17 other areas. A national search will be conducted to recruit a leader who can work with everything USC does internationally.
There will two more Imagine Carolina events. The first will be Imagine Carolina for Graduate Students. The event is scheduled for December $5^{\text {th }}$. The format will be similar to that of the undergraduates. One difference will be the event will be held at the Russell House. The same questions will be used. Breakout sessions will be used.

Imagine Carolina for Faculty will be held in mid-January on a Friday. Additional information will be forthcoming.

PROVOST ARNETT stated that she is here for the long-haul and ready for hard work.

## REPORTS FROM FACULTY COMMITTEES

## Faculty Advisory Committee

DR. ROBERT BROOKSHIRE (Chair of the Faculty Advisory Committee) stated that the Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC) has been planning to revise Section 2 of the Faculty Manual. FAC plans to a) identify a method of accomplishing this task and b) identify a method
whereby the changes can be brought to Faculty Senate in a reasonable manner (i.e., not all at once). Proposed changes will be brought to Faculty Senate in phases.

The first phase is to reorganize section 2 . The document will be divided into subsections. The first subsection will focus on all faculty members. The second subsection will focus on tenure track and tenured faculty members. The third subsection will focus on professional faculty members. FAC is still negotiating on how many additional subsections will be required. During the second phase, FAC will bring revisions as packages to Faculty Senate for each of the section identified. FAC believes this process will require the rest of the academic year. Changes will be prioritized. A town hall meeting will be scheduled whereby faculty are able to provide input regarding reorganization of Section 2.

CHAIR KORSGAARD stated that this section is a very important section to faculty. It is about evaluation, tenure, and promotion. It is important to have a high degree of participation from faculty.

## Committee on Curricula \& Courses

DR. WILLIAM HAUK, Chair of the Committee on Curricula \& Courses (hereafter The Committee) stated that The Committee met on October 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$. The Committee moves to presents a total of 47 proposals. They are as follows:

| Unit | N |
| :--- | :---: |
| College of Arts \& Sciences | 11 |
| College of Business | 5 |
| College of Engineering \& Computing | 2 |
| College of Hospitality, Retail, and Sport and Entertainment <br> Management | 2 |
| College of Information \& Communication | 2 |
| College of Nursing | 15 |
| School of Public Health | 3 |
| School of Music | 7 |

The proposals were voted on and approved.

## Secretary's Report

SENATOR BICKLE is seeking a secretary-elect for Faculty Senate. The Faculty Senate secretary completes three functions: 1) transcribes the minutes of the monthly meeting, 2) prepare a spreadsheet for the available committee positions; from this sheet run the meeting; 3) attend steering committee meetings.

## Chair's Report

CHAIR KORSGAARD addressed an issue regarding the last Faculty Senate meeting; it was held on Yon Kapor. According to the Standing Rules, Faculty Senate is required to meet on the first

Wednesday of the month. A modest revision has been made to this rule to avoid Faculty Senate meeting on a religious holy day. The revision states that "in the event the meeting falls on a work restricted religious holiday, the university will schedule the Faculty Senate meeting for the first Tuesday of the month." Tuesday was selected because some work restricted holidays start on a Wednesday and continue throughout the rest of the week. The process for making this change was a) vote the change through the Steering Committee, and b) have Faculty Senate vote on the modification. Faculty Senate cannot vote on the modification today because it needs to be indicated in the agenda.

Faculty Senate is coming up against another work restricted holiday (April $5^{\text {th }}$ ). This is Passover. While Passover doesn't start until sundown, for the interest of ease, this particular Faculty Senate will be moved to Tuesday if the modification is successfully passed at the December meeting.

The university has an interfaith calendar. All religious holidays are listed. There are rather few work-restricted holidays. It is recommended that on those holidays, no work-related activities be held. This is important to consider these holidays when you are making your course syllabus, department meetings etc.

Last year, INDEV developed a process for review and revision of the Carolina Core. This process was not started back up in the early fall (2022), on purpose, because of the new leadership. The report has been shared with PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS and PROVOST ARNETT. The first phase will begin. DR. LOVELACE and VICE PROVOST KELLY will get the committee organized by the end of the year. It is a representative committee. The committee will conduct a gap analysis. The second phase will be to implement changes to the Carolina Core. The proposed changes will be presented to Faculty Senate for approval.

SENATOR FLORA (Engineering) inquired about the work-restricted holidays and attendance for students. CHAIR KORSGAARD clarified that work-restricted holidays are days where we (faculty, USC) are required to honor the person's need to not be at the university. It is recommended, through this inter-faith calendar, that we not hold important events on those days (e.g., presentations, assignments, exams). Being absent on a particular holiday may adversely impact a student. There are very few of these work-restricted holidays during the week.

SENATOR ALTSCHUL (Astronomy \& Physics) asked why Faculty Senate would move the meeting that is not work-related (i.e., April $5^{\text {th }}$ ). Passover doesn't start until sundown. CHAIR KORSGAARD stated that the Steering Committee decided to err on the side of being considerate. Senator Altschul doesn't think it is wise to move the Faculty Senate meeting unless the date is on a work-related holiday.

Old business: none
New business: none
Good of the order: none

The meeting adjourned at 4:04 pm EST

