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# THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA FACULTY SENATE 

Wednesday, October 4, 2023
This session was held in person at the Close-Hipp Building
PRESIDING CHAIR WAYNE OUTTEN
CHAIR Wayne Outten called the meeting to order at 3:00pm EST.

## Called Meeting of the Faculty Senate

CHAIR OUTTEN welcomed the members to the Faculty Senate meeting.
Approval of the September 13, 2023, Faculty Senate meeting minutes: The minutes were approved.
Report of Provost Arnett
PROVOST ARNETT STATED that she is delighted to be in attendance. PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS sends his regrets. There are a lot of events happening this week. The president is engaged with multiple visiting donors.
Tomorrow is the opening of the South Carolinian library. This is a very exciting moment for our institution. This is the first free-standing library ever created on a university campus. This makes it an historic building and puts the University of South Carolina first amongst other things. MARLA SMALLS is here for the College of Arts and Sciences. SHEILA JOHNSON was here this morning with the College of Hospitality, Retail, and Sport Management at a big event; they ended up having to turn people away (standing room only). There is a big luncheon today at the President's House for these guests.
The Bridge to Faculty program was started last year by PRESIDENT AMIRIDIS. It was run in collaboration with the Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion and the Office of the Provost. Competition was held in departments for Faculty to Fellow program. Six were hired and matriculated to USC. Six additional are in the pool from last year; an additional twelve are being added this year. A call was made. Twenty-three different departments have submitted applications for the twelve positions. Applications are being reviewed by the Office of Access and Opportunity, Civil Rights and Community Engagement and the Vice President of this office and ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT MICHELLE BRYAN. Once reviewed, applications will come to the Provost's Office for review.
Funded by NSF, an app called CircleIn utilizes a social media platform for students to engage with each other and teaching assistants. The purposes are to a) build study groups and b) build community engagement within a class. The university is testing this app in two courses that have very high "D, W, and F" rates (accounting and calculus). The results have been so well (i.e., positive) that INTERIM VICE PROVOST FOR UNDERGRADUATE STUDIES LARA LOMICKA ANDERSON has been asked to present to all CircleIn universities that are participating in the NSF study this fall. USC is the \#1 university using this app; the reason is due
to Dr. Anderson's innovative and creative engagement of faculty. The university is providing financial incentives in addition to student incentives through pizza parties. From an engagement perspective, it has been a fantastic tool. Statistics regarding this initiative include:

- $100 \%$ of classes are participating,
- Almost 1,200 users,
- Approximately 180 different actions for every student that is engaged, and
- Over 7,000 different flash cards have been created for students to share with one another. Comments from students regarding this initiative include:
- Students direct message one another within the app,
- Students warn each other about homework issues,
- Students show each other how to work through homework challenges, and
- Students thank each other for help via emojis, creating a culture of appreciation in the class.

Comments from faculty regarding this initiative include:

- This is helping them engage more with the students, and
- They get direct early feedback from the students.

The overall response rate for teaching evaluations is just $23 \%$. This matters because in every promotion document in every department, teaching documents (i.e., evaluations) play a key role. We need to have excellent information from our students. The Office of the Provost is still working with INDEV to identify how the evaluation can be made better. An examination is being made regarding which platform is the best to capture student evaluations. PROVOST ARNETT asked INTERIM VICE PROVOST ANDERSON to conduct focus groups with both students and faculty with the question "why are you not responding to student evaluations" or "what are the barriers to student evaluations"? Results of the focus groups include: \}

## Student focus group results

- There are too many questions.
- They use Rate My Professor.
- Suggested getting points to obtain football tickets. The challenge is football season is over when course evaluations are conducted.

SENATOR RONDA SANDERS (Mathematics) clarified the point about class size. In the last Faculty Senate meeting (i.e., September 2023), a statement was made that the calculus classes have a size of fewer than 20 students per class. This statement was confirmed by one of the Senators from Mathematics. This is accurate; a section size is 15 . These classes meet in a class size of 60 because four classes meet together. The recitations and labs meet two sections at a time. This makes the lab and recitation sections 30 students. This makes the size 15 a "smoke and mirrors" situation because the students never meet in a setting of 15 students.
PROVOST ARNETT thanked SENATOR SANDERS for the clarification. She stated that this is even more reason for the great applications that are being instituted.

SENATOR ABBAS TAVAKOLI (Nursing) inquired about a) why calculus and accounting were selected and b) the metrics being measured? PROVOST ARNETT stated that there are classes that are participating and classes that are not participating. Courses were selected based on a) the
"D, F, and W" rates in excess of $20 \%$ and b) they are large courses required by many majors. Up to 10,000 students will take one of the two courses during this year. USC capped the expenditure for this initiative at $\$ 15,000$ (which is not very much) to participate in this study. The "D, F, and W" rates will be compared between the courses that use CircleIn app and those that did not use the app.

SENATOR ABBAS TAVAKOLI Nursing) stated that it is important to look at the type of evaluations students are completing.

SENATOR MILIND KUNCHUR (Physics) stated that before the university went to electronic evaluations, students completed handwritten evaluations. Ten to twenty minutes would be set aside at the end of a class period for students to complete the evaluation. If we (the faculty) do this for the electronic evaluations, students will complete the evaluation on a cell phone or laptop. The faculty member will generate a fairly high response rate.
SENATOR AGNES MUELLER (Languages, Literature, and Culture) heard that the First-Year Reading Experience is being re-evaluated. She requested an update on this effort. PROVOST ARNETT stated that the Office of the Provost is at the beginning of the evaluation process. INTERIM VICE PROVOST ANDERSON is leading this charge; the group has met once. The committee consists of faculty and students. The First-Year Reading Experience has been mostly staff-led and has fallen out of the curriculum in most places. Interim Vice Provost Anderson is leading the effort to identify best practices and ways the university can build a First-Year Experience, whether it's reading, or some other venue.

## REPORTS FROM FACULTY COMMITTEES

## Committee on Curricula \& Courses

DR. BRIAN HABING, co-chair of Curricula \& Courses, presented the proposals. Three announcements were provided for the good of the order:

- The full new proposals are not provided in the document. The link at the top of the Faculty Senate website will take you to APPS. Full proposals are available in APPS. If you do not have access to APPS, email either of the co-chairs of C\&C and you will be provided with access to APPS.
- Comments on proposals are encouraged to be sent to the C\&C co-chairs in advance of the meeting. This will help C\&C co-chairs deal with comments in advance of the meeting and get the issue(s) rectified prior to the meeting.
- The busiest date for processing C\&C proposals through Faculty Senate is the December $6^{\text {th }}$ meeting. This is the last meeting date a proposal can be approved for the Fall 2024 bulletin. If you have a proposal for this meeting, your document needs to be processed at the November $8^{\text {th }} \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{C}$ meeting. $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{C}$ needs your proposal by October $25^{\text {th }}$.

There is one change to the package of proposals. In the Strategic Thinking and Communications Certificate, there are two cross listed courses (PHIL 213 and SPCH 213). These courses are not indicated as being cross listed. When the courses are listed in the bulletin, the word "or" will be added. Students cannot take these courses twice.

C\&C would like to approve 45 proposals.

| Unit | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| College of Arts and Sciences | 12 |
| College of Education | 1 |
| College of Engineering \& Computing | 25 |
| College of Information \& Communications | 4 |
| Interdisciplinary Studies | 3 |

SENATOR MARCO VALTORTA apologized for not sending in this question in advance. Regarding the three certificates listed at the end of the C\&C report, primary contact and home unit information are listed. An academic unit is typically stated as a home unit. This is not stated in the same manner for certificates. Nevertheless, APPS application requires a program home unit to be identified. The three headings for program home unit include a) primary academic unit and department, b) college, and c) campus. Regarding the certificate, Interdisciplinary Studies was listed as the department; Interdisciplinary Program was identified as the college. Senator Valtorta stated that in his opinion, Interdisciplinary Studies is not a college. The question was raised "has the committee thought about this issue?" Perhaps the fourth item needs to be changed. Is a new academic unit required to deal with the Interdisciplinary Certificate?

CHAIR HABING stated that a similar issue came up before $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{C}$ regarding courses having a home. C\&C did not discuss whether the form was appropriate for the issue at hand. The committee is willing to look into the topic.

A member from the Interdisciplinary Committee addressed the Senate. ASSISTANT PROVOST FOR GRADUATION AND RETENTION SHELLEY DEMPSEY co-chairs this committee with ALICIA RIBAR (College of Nursing). The committee advises the Office of the Provost on how the APPS system works. The committee is comprised of a faculty member from every school and college. The member is nominated by the dean of the school/college. The process was discussed in advance with the deans regarding how the committee would maintain the interdisciplinary nature of these certificates. This interdisciplinary committee made decisions based on the names of the certificates, learning objectives, and every course from every college to design the certificates. It was a unanimous vote by the members of this committee regarding the resulting outcomes that went forward to the provost and ultimately to C\&C and Faculty Senate.

SENATOR VALTORTA pointed out the wording of ACAF 2.03 (section C.1A). Senator Valtorta stated that based on the wording of ACAF 2.03, it does not seem that this type of advisory committee base initiation is allowed for course action. The exact wording for a certificate is not being viewed. For a course, this system is clearly not compatible.

ASSISTANT VICE PROVOST SHELLEY DEMPSEY responded that there are already some educational programs that are under Interdisciplinary Studies; they are shared by a) Education and b) Arts \& Sciences. It also exists under the graduate program. Interdisciplinary Studies was pre-existing; it was not created for the purpose of this certificate program.

A motion to pass the proposals presented by C\&C was made. The motion passed.

## Faculty Advisory Committee

FAC CHAIR WILLIAM SUDDUTH (University Libraries) presented information regarding Faculty Manual revisions. This information is a continuation from the spring 2023 meetings. The information presented refers to the composition of the Graduate Council. The request for the update was made by Graduate Council. Faculty Senate will vote on the changes during the November 2023 meeting.

HANS-CONRAD ZUR LOYE (Chemistry) stated that "each faculty member from dean-led colleges". The committee does not differentiate between large and small colleges. Since this is for graduate degrees, should this be proportionate to the number of graduate degrees rather than the number of colleges? CHAIR SUDDUTH stated that one additional faculty member from each dean-led college or school with graduate enrollment exceeding 400. Large graduate programs would have an additional representative at Graduate Council.

SENATOR CAROL HARRISON (History) asked for clarification regarding the reason to move away from the members being selected by graduate faculty (i.e., academic unit deans implementing the selection procedure). CHAIR SUDDUTH stated that Graduate Council sent this recommendation to the Faculty Advisory Committee.

## Committee on Scholastic Standards and Petitions

SS\&P CHAIR BILL KNAPP provided notification to Faculty Senate regarding proposes changes in wording of a document. Faculty Senate will vote on the changes at the November 2023 meeting. Issues of the changes in wording are based on the grading policies. The proposal is designed to satisfy the following:

- acknowledges that branch campuses may have different grading policies; and
- help dual enrolled students; if they are having difficulty with a course the student can apply for forgiveness.


## Committee on Admissions

DR. LAURA HERBER, Chair of the Committee on Admissions, gave advanced notice at the September 2023 meeting regarding a motion being brought at the October 2023 meeting.

As a result of the pandemic in Spring 2020, most high school students were unable to complete SAT/ACT testing for the 2021 admission cycle. This led many universities, including USC, to consider a "test-optional" admissions policy. In 2021, the Admissions Committee presented a motion to faculty senate to adopt test-optional admissions through Fall 2023, which was approved.

According to SCOTT VERZYL, Vice President for Enrollment Management and Dean of Undergraduate Admissions, USC participates in a national research project sponsored by the College Board to study test optional admissions; USC out-performs other institutions on nearly every measure, which makes a strong case for us to continue test optional. Based on data collected from two admission cycles, our non-testing students do as well, or better, than those who submitted SAT/ACT testing scores.

## Motion \#1:

The Committee on Admissions is making a motion today to continue test optional admissions for the foreseeable future, subject to our committee's periodic review. If our committee is ever dissatisfied with the results, we can act and bring forward another amendment to the faculty senate to change this policy.

SENATOR ABBAS TAVAKOLI (Nursing) agrees with the motion. "Is a student penalized if a student doesn't record a score? How does the admissions office look at the application?" VICE PRESIDENT VERZYL responded that the Admissions Office has developed a holistic admissions application approach. This has been used for years, particularly in the case of the Honors College applications. Students are allowed to choose the admission plan (test optional or include the test scores). The process designed treats students fairly. There have always been students who decide not to include test scores. An example is international students. The university has a track record for applications that don't have one portion of the application.

SENATOR MILIND KUNCHUR (Physics) inquired about the benefit of excluding the SAT or ACT scores. VICE PRESIDENT SCOTT VERZYL stated that for years, there has been a movement toward test optional (e.g., concerns about fairness, access, test prep). The pandemic accelerated this movement. Currently, $97 \%$ of the AAU schools in the country and $94 \%$ of the R1 universities in the country are test optional. If USC is not test-optional, we are putting ourselves at a disadvantage when recruiting students.

SENATOR AARON DICKER (English) asked the committee if a third option was considered (i.e., no test scores are submitted). VICE PRESIDENT VERZYL stated that for some students, the test score is helpful in the admission process. This is the reason for keeping the score as an option. Some schools, albeit very few, do not accept the scores at all. Most schools do have an optional plan. Our state lottery scholarship programs involve test scores. The university receives students that are accepted into USC as a "test-optional" application, but students' test scores are used to qualify for scholarships.

ALTON CROKER (HSPH-ASPH) asked if all students are notified that test scores are used to help qualify for scholarships? MR. VERZYL stated that students who apply for test-optional also qualify for university scholarships.

## The motion was made and passed.

## Motion \#2:

AS/A Level exams are secondary school exit exams that students take in the UK and other countries worldwide. Similar to Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) exams, AS/A, or advanced level, exams are commonly equated to college credit by universities in the US. In the US, Cambridge AS/A level exams are being offered more frequently at US high schools, and that is why we see 30-40 students with Cambridge AS/A Level exams per year. In fact, the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education mandated last year that all public colleges and Universities accept the Cambridge AS/A Level exams with a minimum score of "E".

The UK Department of Education sets the content requirements that must be covered in all AS/A Level exams and the Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulation (OFQUAL) regulates the exam boards. Currently, Cambridge International is the only AS/A level exam board USC recognizes, but we are beginning to see AS/A Level exams from exam boards other than Cambridge. There are two additional UK exam boards authorized to offer AS/A Level exams: Oxford AQA and Pearson Edexcel. These two additional Exam Boards offer a standard set of exams, which makes it easy to maintain data standards across all exams and USC equivalencies. However, there are other organizations across the globe that claim to offer AS/A Level exams. The University registrar recommends that we only offer course credit to AS/A level exams offered by exam boards that the OFQUAL recognizes and regulates. This policy update is supported by the University Registrar and the Scholastic Standards and Petitions Committee.

Original motion: The Committee on Admissions makes a motion to update the Exam Credit Admissions policy to include two additional UK exam boards authorized to offer AS/A Level exams: Oxford AQA and Pearson Edexcel and to limit future recognition of exam boards to only those recognized by OFQUAL (Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulations).

SENATOR MARK MINETTE (English) inquired about the impact of this motion being passed. CHAIR HERBER stated that OFQUAL regulates exam boards. They set a higher standard. As long as the university accepts OFQUAL exams, the university will be accepting a higher standard. Only the Oxford AQA and the Person Edexcel exams would be automatically accepted.

VICE PRESIDENT SCOTT VERZYL stated that if OFQUAL did automatically approve another group, the university would not need to come back to add the additional test. The university has a similar policy for English language testing. Senator Minette asked, "would it be better to state that the university accept these two exams and any that OFQUAL approve in the future"?

Amended motion: The Committee on Admissions makes a motion to update the Exam Credit Admissions policy to include two additional UK exam boards authorized to offer AS/A Level exams: Oxford AQA and Pearson Edexcel and to include future recognition of exam boards to those recognized by OFQUAL (Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulations).

## The amended motion passes.

## Bookstore Committee

Dr. Fang Meng, Chair of the Bookstore Committee
DR. MENG presented the "First Day Complete Program". This program is proposed by Barnes and Noble College. To the best knowledge of the Bookstore Committee the First Day Complete Program is based on the following information:

## - Focus Groups

Information was obtained through five focus groups conducted through the USC Bookstore. In the data collection and exploration stage of considering First Day Complete Program Focus groups for faculty/staff were conducted on 9/18 and 9/19/2003 on campus ( 9 participants). This means there is very limited data.

## Proposed First Day Complete Program

- The student will pay a flat fee of $\$ 20$ per credit hour for textbooks. Full-time students will be charged $\$ 300$ per semester (based on 15 credit hours).
- It is an automatic opt-in program.
- Students can choose to opt out by the last day of Add/Drop at the beginning of each semester. Otherwise, students are charged $\$ 20 /$ credit hour for textbooks for that semester.
- All textbooks will be rented to students (either electronic or hard copies).
- Students must return the books at the end of the semester.
- Students have the choice to purchase the book at a discounted rate at the end of the semester.
- The students cannot opt-out for only certain courses; it is a total package ("all or nothing").
- It is a separate course charge (separate billing to students beyond the tuition/fees).

Other universities are being charged different rates. It is not known if there will be a rise in the price in the future. The Bookstore Committee does not know if the Office of the Provost will make the decision to adopt this program or if it is through Faculty Senate vote. It is also unclear about the timeline for a decision.
Faculty are encouraged to search the website regarding the advantages and disadvantages of this program (i.e., inclusiveaccess.org).
Barnes and Noble representatives are invited to the Faculty Senate meeting on November $1^{\text {st }}$ for questions and answers.

SENATOR NANCY BUCHAN (Darla Moore School of Business) inquired about the typical textbook cost for students now. Is there any consideration of this program being cost prohibitive for some students? DR. MENG stated that this program could benefit some students in some majors because their textbooks are so expensive. In other majors, however, students would not benefit (e.g., Mathematics). Dr. Meng stated that the Barnes and Noble representative commented that a student can calculate how much the student would save by opting out.

SENATOR KATHERINE BARBIERI (Political Science) states that the Committee should not open negotiations without getting an opt-in rather an opt-out. There is considerable research in economics about individuals not opting out and not being informed. Senator Barbieri thinks there is something unethical about allowing a private company to tax every student at our university unless they take action to opt out. If Barnes and Noble says they will only give the option of opting out, Senator Barbieri encourages the Committee to shut down negotiations.

DR. MENG discussed this topic with faculty in her department. Faculty in the department also raised the possibility of opt-in rather than opt-out.

SENATOR RONDA SANDERS (Mathematics) concern with this program is whether access to the textbook includes access to the homework. If instructors use the e-book (because it is less expensive) would students be penalized and not have access to the online homework or need to pay double?

SENATOR HANS-CONRAD ZUR LOYE (Chemistry) inquired if this program also applies to graduate courses. Graduate students take credit hours for thesis preparation that do not require a textbook. If students are charged based on credit hours, it will be expensive for the graduate students with no benefit. DR. MENG did not have an answer to this question.

SENATOR DOUG THOMPSON (Political Science) asked if students pay fees up front, will the student even know what the book requests will be (in order to compare for opt-in vs. opt-out).

DR. MENG stated that it seems as if the student is required to complete a lot of work to carefully decide on opt-in or opt-out.

SENATOR MICHAEL WISENBERG (University Libraries) stated that are many unknowns with this program. One unknown is does the decision to adopt this program go through the Office of the Provost or the Faculty Senate. If it goes through the Office of the Provost, what type of oversight will occur? How will the Provost's Office be answerable to the faculty and the students? Chair Outten stated that he believes Faculty Senate needs to be involved in this issue.

INTERIM VICE PROVOST ANDERSON clarified a few points.

- If a student elects to opt-out and then goes to the Russell House Bookstore and the financial amount of the textbooks for that semester is over $\$ 300$, the student is able to opt-in immediately to the program. Students have until the drop/add period.
- If the university adopts this type of program, it would be important to consider the best method of getting out the messaging to students.
- Dr. Anderson talked to three other institutions (University of Connecticut, Mississippi State University, and Coastal Carolina) that are implementing this program. The first semester of implementation would have some challenges; getting everyone accustomed to the new system.
- Regarding the timeline, the university is looking at implementing the program in fall 2024.
- The decision would be made in conjunction with input from faculty, staff, and students.
- The Russell House Bookstore is in the process of conducting focus groups.

SENATOR STEPHANIE ACKERSON (Biological Sciences) asked how this program would impact students on scholarship. DR. MENG did not know the answer.

SENATOR CAROL HARRISON (History) discussed her opinion regarding the level of service currently being experienced at the Russell House Bookstore. The strongest incentive Senator Harrison has to move to open access resources is the service from the bookstore. Courses that do not rely on the bookstore run more smoothly (for Senator Harrison). Senator Harrison is currently teaching a course, placed the book order in on time, and yet the book order is not available through the campus bookstore. Senator Harrison does not believe the service will improve with this new program.

SENATOR CHAZ EVANS (School of Visual Arts and Design) mentioned that in his school there are many courses that do not use textbooks. It is very possible for a student to miss an
email about opting-out. This would cause students to be charged for absolutely nothing. This is a deep concern.

DR. MENG stated that if the university adopts the program, the students and parents need to be fully informed of the parameters of the program.

Secretary's Report: SECRETARY MARIANNE BICKLE (HRSM) encouraged tenured faculty to consider being the Faculty Senate secretary. The position begins June 2023. The position is easy; the meetings are recorded, making the minutes easy to transcribe.

## Chair's Report:

CHAIR OUTTEN provided a list of elected vacancies that needed to be filled. The following faculty have volunteered. The chair of each committee has secured agreement from the nominee that the nominee is willing to serve on the designated committee. Additional nominations are welcome. The vacancies are as follows:

## Faculty Appellate Panel

Julia López-Robertson, Instruction and Teacher Education, College of Education

## InDev

Stephanie Armstrong, College of Nursing
Laura Truell, HRSM

## Libraries

Karen McDonnell, College of Nursing
A second vacancy is needed to be filled on this committee

## Tenure Review Board

Bert Ely, Biological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences
Susan Bon, Leadership, Learning Design, and Inquiry, College of Education

## Senate Officers: Secretary-Elect

A nominee is needed for this position.
SENATOR KATHRYN (KASEY) WHITENER (Management) volunteered for the Libraries Committee.

SENATOR ABBAS TAVAKOLI inquired why the Faculty Secretary position needs to be tenured. Chair Outten stated that it is because the Faculty Manual states the position must be tenured. The rationale is unknown.

Nominations will stay open until this meeting adjourns. Faculty Senators can add nominations through the end of the meeting. For the Secretary-Elect position, a person cannot be nominated from the floor at the November meeting. A nominee is needed before the November meeting.

Old business: Last year, there were some proposed changes in the Faculty Manual from the Professional-Track Faculty Committee. CHAIR OUTTEN thought the overall resolution was
sent back to the Committee to be revised and since the passage of time, the original motion would have died, and any new action would be "new action". It was brought to Chair Outten's attention that this is not the case. The minutes stated that the Committee would report back to Faculty Senate during the September meeting on the progress of the revision of the document.

SENATOR VALTORTA, a member of the Professional-Track Faculty Committee, stated that the Committee is meeting and in the process of revising the proposal. This also has to do with changes in the Office of the Provost. There have been discussions with new Vice Provosts. SENATOR AARON DICKER (English) asked for a 1) breakdown on the members of the committee that are professional-track faculty and 2) the names of the colleges or units being represented. Senator Valtorta did not have an answer for Senator Dicker. SENATOR KORSGAARD (Management) stated that the listing is on the website under "standing committees". CHAIR OUTTEN stated that the breakdown is the Faculty Manual in the description of the committee. The current number should be on the Faculty Senate website.

SENATOR AARON DICKER (English) stated that a major concern (as stated in the April 2023 Faculty Senate meeting) was that the Professional-Track Faculty Committee was not tasked with a justification document for the proposal. Since the justification document was not provided, Faculty Senators did know why the Committee made the decisions they made. Does the Committee plan on providing a justification document? CHAIR OUTTEN asked for clarification; by that does Senator Dicker mean a rational for decisions?

SENATOR VALTORTA stated that the topic of a rational (i.e., justification document) has been discussed. He cannot, however, state that it will be provided.

SENATOR ALEX REYNOLDS (Psychology) stated that in her opinion, there was some confusion in separating some of the Faculty Manual changes, voting rights, and what was going back to the Committee. Is there any direction regarding how Faculty Senate will move forward with the title changes for Professional-Track Faculty? The Department of Psychology is being asked to make a document regarding how faculty assess instructors and senior instructors, If the titles change, it will impact how we (the Department of Psychology) write the criteria. Is everything lumped into one vote or are items voted on separately?

CHAIR OUTTEN stated that he believed there was a policy change for the Professional-Track Faculty titles. INTERIM VICE PROVOST FOR FACULTY AFFAIRS AND DEAN OF THE FACULTY MARY ANNE FITZPATRICK stated that one initiative the Provost's Office is working to have all colleges and schools devise guidelines for Professional-Track Faculty three levels of promotion. The university can change the titles fairly easily; the important aspect of this issue is preparing the guidelines. There are three titles: instructor, senior instructor, distinguished instructor.

SECRETARY MARIANNE BICKLE responded to SENATOR AARON DICKERS' question about the Professional-Track Faculty Committee composition. Five colleges are represented on the committee: Engineering, Pharmacy, Arts \& Sciences, Darla Moore School of Business, and Nursing. All members are professional-track faculty members except for one member (i.e., Dr. Marco Valtorta).

SENATOR VALTORTA stated that a major part of the Professional-Track Faculty Committee's efforts is to restructure section 2:C of the Faculty Manual.

## New business:

A motion was made to amend the Standing Rule. The new language is listed in red. This is a motion from the Steering Committee.

## The motion passed.

 MOTION TO AMEND A STANDING RULE
## CURRENT LANGUAGE:

RULE II - MEETINGS
The Faculty Senate shall meet on the first Wednesday of each month from October to April except January. The Chair of the Faculty Senate will select dates for meetings which will be held in the early fall, late spring, mid-summer and January. Each date will be published no later than two weeks prior to the meeting. All members of the University Faculty shall receive notification of meetings, copies of the minutes, and the published agenda for any pending meeting.

## PROPOSED LANGUAGE:

RULE II - MEETINGS
The Faculty Senate shall meet on the first Wednesday of each month from October to April except January unless otherwise ordered by the Senate Steering Committee. The Chair of the Faculty Senate will select dates for meetings which will be held in the early fall, late spring, mid-summer and January. Each date will be published no later than two weeks prior to the meeting. All members of the University Faculty shall receive notification of meetings, copies of the minutes, and the published agenda for any pending meeting.

## RATIONAL:

The existing standing rule requires the Senate to specifically meet on the first Wednesday of every month from October through April except January. The inflexibility of this requirement has caused problems when the first Wednesday of the month falls on certain religious holidays, breaks, and so forth. This change allows the Steering Committee flexibility to reschedule the odd meeting if it falls on a problematic date such as a religious holiday or Spring Break. According to Robert's, "If the words 'unless otherwise ordered by the Society [or 'Executive Board']' are added, the date [of the meeting] can be changed in unusual circumstances, but only for that single meeting on that particular occasion, and not for a period of time including several meetings." RONR ( $12^{\text {th }}$ ed.) 56:33. If this passes, then when, as is the case this year, a Senate meeting falls in the middle of Spring Break, the Steering Committee can shift the meeting slightly.

## New item \#2

The following is for informational purposes only. At the November Faculty Senate meeting, a discussion will be held. A vote will be held regarding the members of the committee.

## Background:

Gartner defines higher education learning management systems ${ }^{i}$ (LMSs) as the central hub for teaching and learning technologies, offering access to a variety of tools and services both inside and outside of the platform. The LMS:

- directs learners to learning resources,
- provides tools for developing and tracking assignments and assessments,
- can generate reports and analytics on learner performance,
- supports the various roles involved in teaching and learning,
- facilitates online collaboration and communication among learners, instructors and administrators, and
- support and manage the delivery of educational content and services to learners, faculty and staff.
Some of the key purposes of a LMS include:
- Providing a centralized platform for delivering and managing educational content, such as course materials, assignments, quizzes, exams and other resources.
- Supporting various teaching and learning activities, such as online and blended learning, flipped classroom models, and interactive and collaborative learning experiences.
- Offering administrative benefits, such as automating various tasks like grading, attendance taking, and reporting; reducing workload; and increasing efficiency.

These functions often require integration with other administrative and instructional systems used by the university. The University of South Carolina currently endorses and supports the a single LMS, Blackboard from Anthology. However, there are other unofficial LMS solutions that faculty across the System have adopted. Having multiple LMS platforms requires students to navigate multiple technological and administrative hurdles in addition to mastering the coursework and learning objectives. These hurdles can degrade the student experience and negatively affect student performance. A standard LMS would help eliminate some of that burden and provide more opportunity to build tighter integrations with other systems to streamline functions faculty are currently juggling within various systems. In fact, the need to adopt a standard LSM fully supports two of USC's three strategic priorities:

1. Reimagining the Student Experience and Advancing Post-Graduate Success
2. Transforming Service Delivery and Promoting Operational Excellence

Therefore, the Provost and Faculty Senate have created The Teaching Technology Review Task Force (TTRTF) with the following charge and membership.

## Charge for the TTRTF:

The Teaching Technology Review Task Force (TTRTF) will conduct a thorough evaluation of available learning management system (LMS) options. Using the existing Blackboard platform as the "current state" for comparison, the TTRTF will define the desired "future state" for a systemwide LMS that:

- empowers an array of pedagogical approaches,
- streamlines course management, grading and reporting processes,
- enhances student learning, and
- adapts to evolving educational trends and technologies.

In addition to these criteria, the TTRTF will assess:

- the LMS user experience for students and faculty,
- the scalability and cost-effectiveness of the LMS across USC system campuses,
- the available support options,
- the capacity of the LMS to integrate with a variety of software tools and platforms that might be used for instructional and administrative purposes, and
- the alignment of the LMS with the strategic goals of the system.

TTRTF will also seek an LMS that:

- complies with relevant accessibility standards
- offers features that support diverse learning styles, and
- adheres to best practices in data protection and privacy regulations.

If the recommendation is to remain with the currently supported Blackboard LMS, the committee report should explain how it meets the goals enumerated above and also include specific recommendations to maximize the features and functions available to our students and faculty. If the recommendation is to migrate to a different platform, the committee will produce a report containing an overall LMS recommendation for the USC system along with the relevant supporting information (how it meets the committee goals, an initial implementation schedule with migration timeline, an annual cost estimate, etc.) This report will be presented to the Faculty Senate and the Office of the Provost for consideration.

## TTRTF Composition:

## Columbia representatives:

1. 2 representatives from InDev
2. 2 representatives from Faculty Senate IT Committee
3. 2 Columbia IT administrators/staff

System representatives (the three comprehensive campuses)

1. 6 (one IT and one faculty member from each comprehensive campus)
2. 1 Palmetto College faculty

Ex officio (advisory roles)

1. 1 Faculty Senate IT Committee chair (Columbia Campus)
2. 1 InDev Committee chair (Columbia Campus)
3. 1 representative from the Office of Institutional Research, Assessment, and Analytics (OIRAA - Columbia Campus)
4. 1 representative from the office of the Chief Financial Officer

Total: 17 committee members

Proposed TTRTF membership for 2023-2024

| Name | Campus | Unit | $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline \text { Faculty } & \text { or } \\ \text { Staff/ } & \\ \text { Admin. } & \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Senate Committee affiliation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stephanie Armstrong | Columbia | College of Nursing | Faculty | InDev |
| Lara Truell | Columbia | HRSM | Faculty | InDev |
| Ashley Gess | Columbia | College of Education | Faculty | IT |
| Nikki Smith | Columbia | College of Nursing | Faculty | IT |
|  | Columbia |  |  |  |
|  | Columbia |  |  |  |
| Chris Clark | USC- <br> Aiken |  | Staff/Admin. |  |
| Julie Wise | USC- <br> Aiken | English | Faculty |  |
| Matt Heightland | USC- <br> Beaufort |  | Staff/Admin. |  |
| Jo Kuehn | USC- <br> Beaufort | Nursing Program | Faculty |  |
| Ryan Crawford | USCUpstate | College of Nursing | Faculty |  |
| Celena Kusch | USC- <br> Upstate | College of Arts, <br> Humanities, and Social <br> Sciences / CAIFS  <br>   | Faculty |  |
|  | Palmetto College |  |  |  |
| Mark Minett | Ex officio | College of Arts and Sciences | Faculty | InDev <br> Chair |
| Nikos Vitzilaios | Ex officio | College of Engineering \& Computing | Faculty | IT Chair |
| La Trice RatcliffSmall | Ex officio | OIRAA | Staff/Admin. |  |
|  | Ex officio |  | Staff/Admin. |  |

## New Business Item \#3

Provost Arnett stated that there is an unfortunate calendar issue this year. A memo has been sent to the Deans. Hanhakha overlaps with final exams. Please accommodate for the religious holiday.
Abbas T (Nursing) noticed the calendar provides a shorter break this year. What was the reason? Mr. Verzyles stated that the academic calendar is in a seven-year cycle. This is why the calendar in 2023 has a short winter break. President Amiridis has requested that the Registrar's Office examine the calendar and address some issues in the fall.

## Good of the order:

Senator Mark Minette (English) reminded faculty that the first AUP meeting of the fall semester will be Wednesday October $25^{\text {th }}$ from 4:00-5:00pm. The meeting will be virtual. The zoom link is available on AUP's Facebook page.

The meeting adjourned at $4: 42 \mathrm{pm}$
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