

# THE TRIBAL TRIBUNE

Francesca Mathewes	Co-Editor in chief
Erin Slowey	Co-Editor in chief
Lettie Lundy	Associate Editor
Ryan Rothkopf	Co-Writing Editor
Samantha Winn	Co-Writing Editor
Mackenzie Ivey	Co-Design Editor
Charlotte O'Neil	Co-Design Editor
Lucy Johnson	Photography Editor
Abby Vorhees	Co-Sports Editor
Katherine Kollegger	Co-Sports Editor
David Carico	Circulation Editor
Zach Green	Webmaster
Einah Park	Features Editor
Liz Hipes	Columns Editor

Patrick Aherne	Lauren Insinger
Reese Alspecotr	Eliza Kurtz
Courtney Asbill	Grace Lady
Hannah Bain	Ben Lawson
Layne Barron	Cooper Lockett
Sarah Brown	Wallace McDonald
Morgan Carpenter	Chloe McMaster
Grace Chisam	Paige Mistler
Natalie Confer	Edward O'Neil
Olivia Coppage	Margaret O'Neil
Hampton Dennis	Claudia Ottinger
Tristan Dowd	Clayton Register
Adam Duffy	Jack Rogers
Caroline DuFresne	Hannah Rothkopf
Lydia Gardner	Nathan Russell
Lexa Garian	Luciana Scholtens
Ansley Gill	Luke Sinclair
Russell Glass	Adam Speaks
Gabe Grills	Laurel Thorp
Lyndsey Hanson	Andrew Tran
Mary-Brenna Harold	William Wallace
Mackinzie Hills	Robert Ziegler
Rose Holstein	

Tamela Watkins Adviser

The Tribal Tribune is published by the newspaper staff at Wando High School, 1000 Warrior Way, Mt. Pleasant, SC 29466. Advertising rates are available upon request by calling 843-849-2830, ext. 23903 or emailing tribaltribune@gmail.com.

The Tribal Tribune has been established as an open forum for student expressions as outlined by the Student Press Law Center. The Tribal Tribune accepts only signed letters to the editor. We reserve the right to edit for space and style as well as to select which to run. The Tribal Tribune publishes 9 times a year. The Tribal Tribune maintains memberships in South Carolina Scholastic Press Association, Southern Interscholastic Press Association, Columbia Scholastic Press Association and National Scholastic Press Association.



Four hundred and eighty. The number of days since the Emanuel Nine shooting.

In those days, there have been tears. There have been prayers. There has been healing, rebuilding, and now, there will be justice.

Dylann Roof, the 22-year-old shooter, begins his trial this month. Roof faces 33 counts of federal offenses, and with the stakes this high, the decision that faces the jury is no longer one of guilt versus innocence, but rather a life in prison versus capital punishment.

And the decision this jury will face is one that is increasingly debated among Americans today, and more and more people are asking the question: Should we still enact the death penalty?

In an eight to five vote, the *Tribal Tribune* editorial staff voted that the death penalty should be outlawed in the United States.

Although the vote was close, the rationale for those who voted against the penalty came down to two driving reasons: cost and morality.

As far as cost goes, the general assumption

is that keeping someone alive in prison would cost more than putting someone on death row and eventually executing them. But this assumption is entirely wrong.

On average, it costs taxpayers about \$90,000 more per death row inmate than regular inmates, according to deathpenaltyinfo.org. This is due to the fact that death row inmates are held on death row for just as long, if not longer than, regular inmates, and at much higher and more expensive security standards, which adds up -- a lot.

Then there's the cost of the actual execution, which isn't cheap either.

One dosage of a lethal injection, used in most death penalty cases, costs roughly \$100, but that's a price that is only going up. The U.S. is the only western nation that still has -- and uses -- capital punishment, so few pharmaceutical companies outside the U.S. borders will make the drugs, and even less inside the borders. It's simple supply and demand -- the scarcer the resources, the higher the cost, and it's a cost that falls on the taxpayer.

The U.S. is the the ONLY western nation

that still maintains the death penalty. Other countries that use capital punishment include China, Iraq, Iran, and Saudi Arabia; a short list that we are on.

How can we expect to maintain the U.S.'s position as a world superpower and a paradigm of progress if we still conduct a form of punishment that is viewed as brutal, primitive and cruel by the our allies?

Which brings morality into play.

The rationale behind the death penalty is that the ultimate punishment fits the ultimate crime. A life for a life. Equal. But this Hammurabi's Code-esque logic has some major flaws.

If the purpose of having laws and a justice system is to enforce behavior that is good for society, what message does it send to say "Hey, if you kill someone, we'll kill you back"? It's a logic that is outdated and ineffective, and not one that belongs in the U.S courts.

But it's ultimately up to the people. If the death penalty is to be abolished, it needs to come from the people. Cost, morality, life versus death -- all of these things need to be considered.

## Editorial

# And the results are in....

**President:** Trump: 276 Electoral Votes  
Clinton: 218 Electoral Votes

\*270 needed for presidency

**US House of Representatives:** Republicans: 236 Seats  
Democrats: 191 Seats

\*218 Needed for Majority

**US Senate:** Republicans: 51 Seats  
Democrats: 47 Seats

\*51 Needed for Majority